NEW MITIGATION ACTIONS

CVCOG REGION	3
COKE COUNTY	
TOWN OF BRONTE	29
CITY OF ROBERT LEE	35
CONCHO COUNTY	45
CITY OF EDEN	49
TOWN OF PAINT ROCK	57
CROCKETT COUNTY	61
IRION COUNTY	
CITY OF MERTZON	77
KIMBLE COUNTY	
CITY OF JUNCTION	85
MCCULLOCH COUNTY	89
TOWN OF MELVIN	99
MENARD COUNTY	105
CITY OF MENARD	111
REAGAN COUNTY	
CITY OF BIG LAKE	121
SCHLEICHER COUNTY	127
CITY OF ELDORADO	133
STERLING COUNTY	137
CITY OF STERLING CITY	151
SUTTON COUNTY	
CITY OF SONORA	161
TOM GREEN COUNTY	
CITY OF SAN ANGELO.	173

At the mitigation workshops for CVCOG, plan participants developed mitigation actions, prioritizing actions based on the STAPLE+E analysis, which includes considering the social, technical, administrative, political, legal, economic and environmental factors necessary for the implementation of each action. A STAPLE+E analysis follows each mitigation action in this section.

As part of the economic evaluation of the STAPLE+E analysis, jurisdictions analyzed each action in terms of the overall costs, measuring whether the potential benefit to be gained from the action outweighed all costs associated with it. As a result of this exercise, priority was assigned to each mitigation action by marking them as High (H), Moderate (M), or Low (L). An action that is ranked as "High" indicates that the action will be implemented as soon as funding is received. A "Moderate" action is one that may not be implemented right away depending on the cost and number of citizens served by the action. Actions ranked as "Low" indicate that they will not be implemented without first seeking grant funding and after "High" and "Moderate" actions have been completed.

CVCOG jurisdictions also developed and prioritized mitigation actions regarding the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) for continual compliance. These actions are denoted with "(NFIP)" next to each corresponding action number in the beginning row of each mitigation action.

CVCOG Region

Concho	Valley Council of Governments Region
Proposed Action:	Post burn ban signs on all major highways
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	CVCOG Region
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education &	Public Education & Awareness
Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Low		
Estimated Cost:	To be determined		
Potential Funding Sources:	Counties		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Counties		
Implementation Schedule:	2012 and ongoing		

COMMENTS:		

The followhich th	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Socially	Accepta	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Technic	ally Fea	sible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admini	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
	lly Acce	-				,			
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal:	Legal:								
1		2		3		4	Ш	5	
Econom	Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	
	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3	Ш	4		5	

Concho	Valley Council of Governments Region -
Proposed Action:	Implement a program to secure power lines in rural areas when transmission lines, due to thunderstorm, wind, ice, and other weather events spark a fire which may go unnoticed, resulting in urban and rural fire
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	CVCOG Region
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire, Winter Storm, Thunderstorm			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	Counties, power companies			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Counties			
Implementation Schedule:	2012 and ongoing			

COMMENTS:		

The follo which th	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Socially	Accepta	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Technic	ally Fea	sible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Adminis	strativel	y Possible:	:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politica	lly Acce	ptable:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal:	Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Econom	Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	
	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	

Concho	Valley Council of Governments Region – 3
Proposed Action: BACKGROUND INFORMATION	Implement a public awareness program to promote "GO KIT" to residents throughout the CVCOG region. The kit provides residents information on pre-planning for keeping important papers, medications and other essential items together in the event of disaster and evacuation of their home.
Site and Location:	CVCOG Region
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire, Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	Counties, donations, local funds			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	CVCOG, counties, cities, individuals			
Implementation Schedule:	2012 and ongoing			

COMMENTS:			

The foll to which	lowing ST	APLEE ion satis	fies each c	ere eva	luated on a s ration. (1= I				
Sociall	y Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
	ically Fe								
1	Ш	2	Ш	3	Ш	4		5	
	Administratively Possible:								
1	Ш	2		3		4	Ш	5	
	ally Acce		:			Г.		1	_
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	
Legal:								_	
1	Ш	2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2	Ш	3		4		5	

Concho	Valley Council of Governments Region – 4
Proposed Action:	Develop local agreements with landowners to cut fences both for access to wildfires, and to free trapped livestock. Include landowners who will be willing to take control and move livestock to safe areas for care and feeding.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	CVCOG Region
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	USDA, grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	CVCOG, USDA			
Implementation Schedule:	2012 and ongoing			

Pre-arranged agreements allow ranchers access to livestock in a wildfire situation to protect investment and reduce economic loss.

The foll to which	owing ST n this acti		riteria w es each c	ere evalı			f 1 to 5 indic ot Satisfy		
Sociall	y Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	cally Fe	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acce	eptable:						_	
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal:									
1	Ш	2		3		4	Ш	5	
Econor	nically S	ound:		_		_			
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	

Concho	Valley Council of Governments Region - 5
Proposed Action:	Initiate a program to locate and map all water resources (commercial, flush hydrants, stock ponds, lakes, and waterways) using GPS.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	CVCOG Region
Type of Action (Prevention, Property	Property Protection, Natural Resource
Protection, Public Education &	Protection
Awareness, Natural Resource	
Protection, or Structural Projects):	

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	CVCOG, Forest Service			
Implementation Schedule:	2012 and ongoing			

Pre-located water sources assist with wildfire for refilling helicopters; location of all water resources also aid in protecting them with barriers to keep debris and other materials associated with wildfire from contamination.

The foll to which	owing ST	APLEE ion sati	sfies each c	ere ev	aluated on a eration. (1= I			_	
Sociall	y Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	cally Fe	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acce		e:					1	
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2	Ш	3		4		5	
Econor	nically S	ound:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	

Concho	Valley Council of Governments Region – 6
Proposed Action:	Develop a program for rural residences throughout the CVCOG region to ensure all structures have visible addresses that can be seen from county roads and highways both day and night in order to aid first responders during wildfire events.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	CVCOG Region
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	Increase response to minimize structural			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	damage due to fire			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	9-1-1 Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	9-1-1			
Implementation Schedule:	2012 and ongoing			

Aid in quicker location of rural residential properties.

The followhick	owing ST	APLEE	sfies each c	ere eva	aluated on a s ration. (1= I			_	
Sociall	y Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	cally Fe	asible:						•	
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Politica	ally Acce		»:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal:									
1	Ш	2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	

Concho	Valley Council of Governments Region – 7
Proposed Action:	Designate area(s) and construct multi- purpose community shelter(s) to accommodate area residents during disasters and severe weather events in the CVCOG region.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	CVCOG Region – Counties to be determined
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Hail, Winter Storm, Tornado, Thunderstorm, Hurricane			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$1.0 -\$1.3 million			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	CVCOG			
Implementation Schedule:	Within two years of funding			

COMMENTS:		

The foll		APLEE c	riteria w les each d	ere eval			of 1 to 5 indic Not Satisfy		
Sociall	y Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	ically Fe	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acce	eptable:							
1		2	Ш	3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	

Concho	Valley Council of Governments Region – 8
Proposed Action:	Develop a cloud-seeding program as an integral part of overall long-term water management strategy to maximize supply of fresh water and reduce economic agricultural impact of drought and hail damage in the region.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	CVCOG Region – Specific counties within the region to be determined
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection, Natural Resource Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Drought, Hail			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$275,000 - \$450,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	CVCOG, along with participating			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible.	jurisdictions			
Implementation Schedule:	2012 and ongoing			

COMMENTS:		

The followhic	lowing S7	APLEE ion satis	fies each c	ere eva			of 1 to 5 indic Not Satisfy 3	_	
Social	ly Accep	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techn	ically Fe	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acc		:	T					
1		2		3		4		5	Ш
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	

Concho	Valley Council of Governments Region - 9
Proposed Action:	Improve wildfire fighting water delivery capabilities by the purchase of one large, mobile fifth-wheel water trailer to be strategically placed around the region.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	CVCOG Region
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire, Drought			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	Minimize fire damage to all structures			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$150,000 - \$175,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	VFD and regular Fire Departments within CVCOG Region			
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding			

COMMENTS:		

The fo	TIONAL (collowing ST. collowing ST. collowing ST. collowing ST. collowing ST. collowing ST.	APLEE on satis	criteria w fies each c	ere evalu				_	
Socia	lly Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techi	nically Fea	asible:		·				•	
1		2		3		4		5	
Admi	nistrative		ible:	_					
1		2		3		4		5	
Politi	ically Acce	ptable:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal	l :					_			
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Envir	Environmentally Sound:								
						1 -			

Proposed Action:	Establish public awareness program regarding availability of flood insurance be disseminating brochures in public places, such as City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	CVCOG Region
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Public works			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	y Accept	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	cally Fe	asible:		•					
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ly Poss	sible:						
1		2		3		4		5	
	ally Acce		:			ı			
1		2		3		4	Ш	5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	

Coke County

	Coke County -					
Proposed Action:	Improve communication system.					
BACKGROUND INFORMATION						
Site and Location:	Coke County					
	1					
	,					
Type of Action (Propertion Property	·					
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education &	Prevention					
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	·					

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood, Wildfire, Tornado			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$75,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Coke County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:

The current communication system is outdated; improvements need to be made so that all thirteen COG communities will be able to communicate during disasters.

The fo	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Socia	ally Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Tech	nically Fea	asible:		•					
1		2		3		4		5	
Admi	inistrative	ly Possi	ble:	_		,			
1		2		3		4		5	
Polit	ically Acce	eptable:		_		,			
1	Ш	2		3		4	Ш	5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound: 1									
				_				~	

	Coke County - 2
Proposed Action:	Implement a water conservation program to inform the public about the importance of water rationing.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Coke County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Drought			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$5,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Coke County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

With the drought crisis in 2011, it's important to inform our communities about the importance of water rationing. Interested in making pamphlets and having them available at City Hall, schools, businesses, etc.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socia	ally Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Tech	nically Fea	asible:		•		•		•	
1		2		3		4		5	
Admi	inistrative	ly Possi	ble:	_		,			
1		2		3		4		5	
	ically Acce					,			
1	Ш	2		3		4	Ш	5	
Legal	Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound: 1 2 3 ■ 4 □ 5 □									
_		_		9		•		9	

Proposed Action:	Coke County - 3 (NF) Develop flood insurance and awareness program; disseminate materials with new permits and place in the library at City Ha
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Coke County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	Prevention, Public Education & Awareness
Protection, or Structural Projects):	

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	Local funding			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City staff			
Implementation Schedule:	2013			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	у Ассер	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	cally Fe	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
	istrative		sible:						
1		2	Ш	3	Ш	4	Ш	5	
Politic	ally Acc	eptable	e:	T		1		1	
1		2	Ш	3	Ш	4	Ш	5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	

Town of Bronte

	Town of Bronte
Proposed Action:	Expand the number of wells in the Town.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Town of Bronte
Type of Action (Prevention, Property	Structural Projects
Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$75,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Coke County, Town of Bronte			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	y Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	ically Fea	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ly Possib	le:			,			
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	
Politic	ally Acce								
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5	
Legal:	Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2	Ш	3		4		5	

Proposed Action:	Clear out debris from unused areas aroun town.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Town of Bronte
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Drought, Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$5,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Coke County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socia	ılly Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Tech	nically Fea	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admi	inistrative	ly Possik	ole:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Polit	ically Acce	eptable:		_					
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Lega	Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Envi	Environmentally Sound: 1								
				_		_		~	

Proposed Action:	Town of Bronte – 3 (NF) Develop flood insurance and awareness program; disseminate materials with new permits and place in the library at City Ha
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Town of Bronte
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention, Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	Local funding			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City staff			
Implementation Schedule:	2013			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)										
Sociall	Socially Acceptable:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Techni	cally Fe	asible:								
1		2		3		4		5		
Admin	istrative	ly Pos	sible:	_						
1		2		3		4		5		
	ally Acc		e:	ı		I				
1	Ш	2		3		4		5		
Legal:	Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Economically Sound:										
1		2		3		4		5		
Enviro	nmental	lly Sou	nd:							
1		2		3		4		5		

City of Robert Lee

Proposed Action:	Need to evaluate water quality from new sources and investigate expansion of existi water storage facilities.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	City of Robert Lee
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Natural Resource Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$50,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Robert Lee			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)										
Socially Acceptable:										
1		2		3	4		5			
Technically Feasible:										
1		2		3	4		5			
Administratively Possible:										
1	Ш	2	Ш	3	4	Ш	5			
Politically Acceptable:										
1		2		3	4		5			
Legal:										
1		2		3	4		5			
Economically Sound:										
1		2		3	4		5			
Environmentally Sound:										
1		2	Ц	3	4	Ц	5	Ш		

Proposed Action:	City of Robert Lee Distribute pamphlets or have them readily available at City Hall concerning water shortage/conservation.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	City of Robert Lee
Site and Location.	City of Robert Lee
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Natural Resource Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS					
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Drought				
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A				
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate				
Estimated Cost:	\$3,000				
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants				
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Robert Lee				
Implementation Schedule:	Contingent on funding				

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Social	ly Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techn	ically Fea	asible:						,	
1		2		3		4		5	
	nistrative	·	le:						
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
	cally Acce								
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Legal:	Legal:								
1		2		3		4	Ш	5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш

	City of Robert Lee –
Proposed Action:	Purchase multi-purpose equipment such as cones and lighted barricades to use during emergencies to block dangerous roads from being used during wildfire events.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of Robert Lee
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS					
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire, Flood				
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A				
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High				
Estimated Cost:	\$5,000				
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants				
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Robert Lee				
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding				

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	Socially Acceptable:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ly Poss	ible:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politica	ally Acc	eptable:	:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	

Proposed Action:	City of Robert Lee Purchase a new warning system to adequately cover all areas of the City of Robert Lee.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	City of Robert Lee
Site and Location.	City of Robert Lee
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS					
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire, Flood				
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A				
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High				
Estimated Cost:	\$5,000				
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants				
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Robert Lee				
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding				

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	y Accept	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ely Pos	sible:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acc	eptable	:						
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	

	City of Robert Lee – 5 (NFIP)
Proposed Action:	Establish public awareness program regarding availability of flood insurance by disseminating brochures in public places, such as City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of Robert Lee
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Public works			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

The foll to which	owing ST	APLEE ion sati	sfies each c	ere eva			of 1 to 5 indic Not Satisfy		
Sociall	y Accept	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ely Pos	sible:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acc	eptable): 						
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	nmental	lly Sou	nd:						
1		2		3		4		5	

Concho County

	Concho County -
Proposed Action:	Implement a public awareness program to inform the citizens about their safety during high temperatures and droughts.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Concho County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Drought, Extreme Heat			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$2,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Concho County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

The foll to which	owing ST	APLEE ion sati	sfies each c	ere eva			of 1 to 5 indic Not Satisfy		
Sociall	y Accept	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ely Pos	sible:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acc	eptable	e:						
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	nmental	lly Sou	nd:						
1		2		3		4		5	

Proposed Action:	Concho County – 2 (NF) Establish public awareness program regarding availability of flood insurance be disseminating brochures in public places, such as City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Concho County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection,	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Public works			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

The followhick	owing ST	APLEE	sfies each c	ere ev				ating the extent 3 = Moderately
Sociall	y Accept	table:						
1		2		3		4		5
Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5
Admin	istrative	ly Pos	sible:					
1		2		3		4		5
	ally Acce		»:	1		ı		
1		2		3		4	Ш	5
Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5
Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5
Enviro	nm <u>e</u> ntal	ly Sou	nd:					
1		2		3		4		5

City of Eden

Proposed Action:	City of Eden Implement a public awareness program to inform the citizens about their safety durin high temperatures and droughts.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	City of Eden
	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS					
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Drought, Extreme Heat				
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A				
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High				
Estimated Cost:	\$2,000				
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants				
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Eden				
Implementation Schedule:	2012				

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	y Accept	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acc	eptabl	e:						
1		2	Ш	3		4		5	
Legal:	Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	

	City of Eden – 2				
Proposed Action:	Strengthen or improve emergency				
	notification system to cover the entire city.				
BACKGROUND INFORMATION					
Site and Location:	City of Eden				
True of Action (Proportion Proporty	Public Education & Awareness				
Type of Action (Prevention, Property	Public Education & Awareness				
Protection, Public Education &					
Awareness, Natural Resource Protection,					
or Structural Projects):					

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood, Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$25,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Eden			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:

There is currently only one siren on one side of the town. In the event of a disaster, the entire town can't hear.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	y Accept	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ely Pos	sible:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acc	eptable	e:						
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5	
Legal:	Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	

	City of Eden – 3
Proposed Action:	Expand pipeline in conjunction with water storage project to carry and utilize excess water to the Eden Football Field.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of Eden
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Structural Projects

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$50,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Eden			
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding			

COMMENTS:

The current new water system reclaims water and waters the golf course only.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	у Ассері	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ely Pos	ssible:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acc	eptabl	e:						
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Legal:	Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	

Proposed Action:	City of Eden – 4 (NFI Establish public awareness program regarding availability of flood insurance by disseminating brochures in public places, such as City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of Eden
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS					
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood				
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A				
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate				
Estimated Cost:	To be determined				
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues				
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Public works				
Implementation Schedule:	2012				

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Sociall	y Accept	able:						
1		2		3		4		5
Techni	ically Fea	asible:						
1		2		3		4		5
	istrative		ible:	T				
1	Ш	2		3		4		5
	ally Acce		:	T				
1	Ш	2		3		4		5
Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5
Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5
Environmentally Sound:								
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5

Town of Paint Rock

	Town of Paint Rock		
Proposed Action:	Develop a Wildfire Protection Plan for the Town.		
BACKGROUND INFORMATION			
Site and Location:	Town of Paint Rock		
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness		
TIME A MICHAEL CONTON DEPARTS C			
IITIGATION ACTION DETAILS	111-1 16-		
lazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire		
affect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
riority (High, Moderate, Low):	High		
Stimated Cost: Ootential Funding Sources:	\$5,000		
ead Agency/Department Responsible:	Grants Town of Paint Rock		
mplementation Schedule:	2012		
inplementation Schedule:	2012		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Social	lly Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techn	nically Fea	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admii	nistrative	ly Poss	ible:					T	
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	
	cally Acce		:					T	
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	
Legal:									
1	Ш	2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5	

Proposed Action:	Town of Paint Rock – 2 (NFI) Promote public awareness of NFIP Program by distributing flood insurance brochures at City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Town of Paint Rock	Town of Paint Rock
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	Minimal			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Town of Paint Rock			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socially	y Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	cally Fe	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admini	strative	ly Possi	ble:						
1		2		3		4		5	
	ally Acce	1		1				Γ	
1		2		3		4	Ш	5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	

Crockett County

Proposed Action:	Conduct a public education program on fire risks and wildfire mitigation, with the assistance of the Texas Forest Service.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Crockett County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$8,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Crockett County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)										
Socia	ally Accept	able:								
1		2		3		4		5		
Tech	nically Fea	asible:				•		•		
1		2		3		4		5		
Admi	inistrative	ly Possil	ble:			,				
1		2		3		4		5		
	ically Acce					,				
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш	
Legal:										
1		2		3		4		5		
Econ	Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Environmentally Sound: $1 \Box 2 \Box 3 \blacksquare 4 \Box 5 \Box$										
_				J		_		9		

Proposed Action:	Develop a Wildfire Recovery Plan, including soil erosion control and vegetative recover
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Crockett County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention, Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Crockett County			
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding			

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)										
Sociall	Socially Acceptable:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Techni	Technically Feasible:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Admin	istrative	ely Pos	sible:							
1		2		3		4		5		
Politic	ally Acc	eptable): 							
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5		
Legal:	Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Econor	Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Enviro	nmental	lly Sou	nd:							
1		2		3		4		5		

Crockett County -
Develop a Community Wildfire Protection Plan.
Crockett County
Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$8,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Crockett County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Social	ly Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techn	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admir	nistrative	ly Possib	le:						
1		2		3		4	Ш	5	
	cally Acce	,							
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Legal:	Legal:								
1		2		3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Econo	Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	
	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ц

	Crockett County -
Proposed Action:	Repairing spillways and concrete dams.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Crockett County – Sides #2, 7, Johnson Dra WCD
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Dam Failure			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$120,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	County Judge			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)										
Sociall	Socially Acceptable:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Techni	ically Fe	asible	:							
1		2		3		4		5		
Admin	Administratively Possible:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Politic	ally Acc	eptabl	e:							
1		2	Ш	3		4		5		
Legal:	Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Economically Sound:										
1		2		3		4		5		
Enviro	onmental	lly Sou	ınd:							
1		2		3		4		5		

Proposed Action:	Crockett County – 5 (NFI Establish public awareness program regarding availability of flood insurance by disseminating brochures in public places, such as City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Crockett County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Public works			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	Socially Acceptable:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
	ally Acce		::			Т			
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	

Irion County

Proposed Action:	To implement a Wildfire Protection Plan f				
-	the public to learn how to prevent a wildfire				
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	<u> </u>				
BACKGROUND INFORMATION					
Site and Location:	Irion County				
Type of Action (Prevention, Property	Public Education & Awareness				
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education &	Public Education & Awareness				
	Public Education & Awareness				

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$5,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Irion County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	Socially Acceptable:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acc	eptabl	e:						
1		2	Ш	3		4		5	Ш
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	

Proposed Action:	To use the newspapers and media to post information about ongoing burn bans in tharea.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Irion County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$3,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Irion County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)										
Sociall	y Accept	table:								
1		2		3		4		5		
Techni	ically Fe	asible	:							
1		2		3		4		5		
Admin	Administratively Possible:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Politic	ally Acc	eptabl	e:							
1		2	Ш	3		4		5		
Legal:										
1		2		3		4		5		
Econoi	Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Enviro	nmental	lly Sou	ınd:							
1		2		3		4		5		

Proposed Action:	Irion County – 3 (NF) Promote public awareness of the NFIP Program by distributing flood insurance brochures at City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Irion County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	Minimal			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Irion County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	y Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	ically Fea	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative		ble:	.					
1	Ш	2		3		4	Ш	5	
	ally Acce	_		T					
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Econor	mically S	ound:						,	
1		2		3		4		5	
	nmental		d:	Ta	 				
1		2		3	Ш	4	Ш	5	

City of Mertzon

Proposed Action:	City of Mertzon Implement a public education program to inform members of the community on water conservation.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	City of Mertzon
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS					
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Drought				
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A				
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High				
Estimated Cost:	\$9,000				
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants				
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Mertzon				
Implementation Schedule:	2012				

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)										
Social	ly Accept	able:								
1		2		3		4		5		
Techn	ically Fea	asible:								
1		2		3		4		5		
	istrative	ly Possib	le:	1 -		T		T		
1		2		3		4	Ш	5		
	ally Acce			1				T		
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5		
Legal:	Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Econo	Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5		
	onmental	ly Sound	:	Lo		Ι,		1 -		
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5	Ш	

Proposed Action:	City of Mertzon – 2 (NFIP) Establish public awareness program regarding availability of flood insurance by disseminating brochures in public places,
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	such as City Hall.
Site and Location:	City of Mertzon
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate		
Estimated Cost:	To be determined		
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Public works		
Implementation Schedule:	2012		

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Sociall	y Accept	table:						
1		2		3		4		5
Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5
Admin	Administratively Possible:							
1		2		3		4		5
	ally Acc		e:	ı		1		
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5
Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5
Econor	nically S	Sound:						
1		2		3		4		5
Enviro	nmental	lly Sou	ınd:					
1		2		3		4		5

Kimble County

Kimble County – 1 ar abandoned areas to prevent wildfires.
ar abandoned areas to prevent wildfires.
nble County
perty Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High		
Estimated Cost:	\$10,000		
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Kimble County		
Implementation Schedule:	2012		

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Social	Socially Acceptable:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Techn	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admir	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4	Ш	5	
	cally Acce	,=							
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Econo	omically S	ound:							
1		2		3		4		5	
	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4	Ш	5	

Proposed Action:	Kimble County – 2 (NFI Promote public awareness of the NFIP Program by distributing flood insurance brochures at City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Kimble County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS		
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood	
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A	
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High	
Estimated Cost:	Minimal	
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues	
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Kimble County	
Implementation Schedule:	2012	

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	Socially Acceptable:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Technically Feasible:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
	ally Acce		e:	1		ı			
1		2		3		4	Ш	5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Econor	nically S	Sound:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	nm <u>e</u> ntal	ly Sou	nd:						
1		2		3		4		5	

City of Junction

	City of Innation 1		
Proposed Action:	City of Junction – 1 Prevent erosion on the Llano River.		
BACKGROUND INFORMATION			
Site and Location:	City of Junction – Llano River		
Type of Action (Prevention, Property	Natural Resource Protection		
Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Tvaturar resource i rotection		
_			
MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood, Drought		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High		
Estimated Cost:	\$200,000		
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Junction		
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding		
COMMENTS:			

The foll to which		APLEE ci	riteria wo es each c	NS ere evaluate onsideration					
Sociall	ly Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	ically Fea	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	Administratively Possible:								
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Politic	ally Acce		- -1	,					
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2	Ш	3		4		5	

Proposed Action:	City of Junction – 2 (NFI Develop flood insurance and awareness program; disseminate materials with new permits and place in the library at City Ha
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of Junction
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention, Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS		
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood	
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A	
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	high	
Estimated Cost:	Local funding	
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues	
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City staff	
Implementation Schedule:	2013	

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	у Ассер	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	cally Fe	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	Administratively Possible:								
1		2	Ш	3	Ш	4	Ш	5	
Politic	ally Acc	eptable	e:			1		1	
1		2	Ш	3	Ш	4	Ш	5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	

McCulloch County

	McCulloch County
Proposed Action:	Mapping roads for flood prone areas.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	McCulloch County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	Prevention
Protection, or Structural Projects):	

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High		
Estimated Cost:	\$5,000		
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	McCulloch County		
Implementation Schedule:	2012		

COMMENTS:		

The fo	_	APLEE cri on satisfie	teria we s each co	NS ere evaluated onsideration.				_	
Socia	ally Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Tech	nically Fea	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admi	inistrative	ly Possibl	le:						
1		2		3		4		5	
	ically Acce	_				,		,	
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Lega	l:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Envi	Environmentally Sound: 1								
_		_				•		9	

	${f McCulloch\ County-2}$
Proposed Action:	Provide information on hazardous material incidents for the citizens of the County by providing pamphlets and having them available around the County.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	McCulloch County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Hazardous Material Incident		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High		
Estimated Cost:	\$5,000		
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	McCulloch County		
Implementation Schedule:	2012		

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socially	Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Technic	ally Fea	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admini	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Politica	lly Acce	eptable:							
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5	Ш
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	

	McCulloch County – 3
Proposed Action:	Upgrade current communication system to a digitalized system for better coverage around the County.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	McCulloch County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood, Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$5,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	McCulloch County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	y Accept	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ely Pos	sible:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acc	eptable	e:						
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	

	McCulloch County
Proposed Action:	Expand current communication receptors to include two more tower sites for better coverage within the County divisions.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	McCulloch County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood, Wildfire, Drought			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$50,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	McCulloch County			
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Sociall	y Accept	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ely Pos	sible:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acc	eptable	e:						
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	

	McCulloch County – 5 (NFI					
Proposed Action:	Establish public awareness program regarding availability of flood insurance by disseminating brochures in public places, such as City Hall.					
BACKGROUND INFORMATION						
Site and Location:	McCulloch County					
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection					

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Public works			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Sociall	y Accept	able:						
1		2		3		4		5
Techni	cally Fe	asible:						
1		2		3		4		5
Admin	Administratively Possible:							
1		2		3		4		5
	ally Acco		e:	ı		ı		
1	Ш	2		3		4		5
Legal:				_				
1		2		3		4		5
Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5
Enviro	nmental	ly Sou	nd:					
1		2		3		4		5

Town of Melvin

Proposed Action:	Town of Melvin Purchase a new electrical system for the town offices.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Town of Melvin
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire, Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$50,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Town of Melvin			
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding			

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socially	Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Technic	ally Fea	asible:				1		1	
1		2		3		4		5	
Adminis	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Political	lly Acce	eptable:							
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ц	5	Ш
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environ	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	

Proposed Action:	Town of Melvin Implement a public education awareness program to inform citizens about drought, water conservation, and emergency routes
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Town of Melvin
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Drought, Wildfire, Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$50,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Town of Melvin			
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socially	Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Technic	ally Fea	asible:				'		1	
1		2		3		4		5	
Adminis	strative	ly Possible	e:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politica	lly Acce	eptable:							
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ц	5	Ш
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environ	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	

Proposed Action:	Town of Melvin – 3 (NF) Develop flood insurance and awareness program; disseminate materials with new permits and place in the library at City Ha
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Town of Melvin
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	Prevention, Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High		
Estimated Cost:	Local funding		
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City staff		
Implementation Schedule:	2013		

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socially Acceptable:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ly Poss	sible:						
1		2		3		4		5	
	ally Acce		:			I			
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal:	Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	

Menard County

	Menard County				
Proposed Action:	Implement early warning system.				
BACKGROUND INFORMATION					
Site and Location:	Menard County				
Type of Action (Prevention, Property	Prevention, Public Education & Awareness				
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education &	Prevention, Public Education & Awareness				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Prevention, Public Education & Awareness				

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire, Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$25,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Menard County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:

Partially completed project, but seeking funding to continue and complete it.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socia	Socially Acceptable:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Tech	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admi	inistrative	ly Possib	le:	,					
1		2		3		4		5	
Polit	ically Acce	ptable:		,					
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Lega	Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Econ	Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Envi	Environmentally Sound: 1								
		_		9		-		9	

Proposed Action:	Menard County – Implement early warning system and response plan for thunderstorms, hail and tornadoes.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Menard County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Thunderstorm, Hail, Tornado			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$30,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Menard County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socia	Socially Acceptable:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Tech	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admi	inistrative	ly Possik	ole:						
1		2		3		4		5	
	ically Acce	_				,		,	
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Lega	Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Econ	Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Envi	Environmentally Sound: 1								
_				J		-		9	

	Menard County - 3 (NF)
Proposed Action:	Promote public awareness of the NFIP Program by distributing flood insurance brochures at City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Menard County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High		
Estimated Cost:	Minimal		
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Menard County		
Implementation Schedule:	2012		

COMMENTS:			

The followhick	owing ST	APLEE	sfies each c	ere ev		of 1 to 5 indic Not Satisfy 3		
Sociall	y Accept	table:						
1		2		3	4		5	
Techni	cally Fe	asible:						
1		2		3	4		5	
Admin	istrative	ly Poss	ible:		 			
1		2		3	4		5	
	ally Acce	, 	:	T	I			
1		2		3	4	Ш	5	
Legal:								
1		2		3	4		5	
Econor	nically S	Sound:						
1		2		3	4		5	
Enviro	nm <u>e</u> ntal	ly Sour	nd:					
1		2		3	4		5	

City of Menard

	City of Menard –				
Proposed Action:	Implement early warning system.				
BACKGROUND INFORMATION					
Site and Location:	City of Menard				
Type of Action (Prevention, Property	Prevention Public Education & Awareness				
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education &	Prevention, Public Education & Awareness				
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	Prevention, Public Education & Awareness				

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire, Flood		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High		
Estimated Cost:	\$25,000		
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Menard County		
Implementation Schedule:	2012		

COMMENTS:

Partially completed project, but seeking funding to continue and complete it.

The follo	wing ST this acti		eria we each co	NS ere evaluated onsideration		_	
Socially	Accept	able:					
1		2		3	4	5	
Technic	ally Fe	asible:		1	1	1	
1		2		3	4	5	
	strative	ly Possible	e:	3		5	
1		2		3	4	 Э	
Politica	lly Acce	eptable:					
1		2		3	4	5	
Legal:							
1		2		3	4	5	
Econom	ically S	ound:					
1		2		3	4	5	
Environ	ımental	ly Sound:			 	 	
1		2		3	4	5	

	City of Menard – 2
Proposed Action:	Implement early warning system and response plan for thunderstorms, hail and tornadoes.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of Menard
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Thunderstorm, Hail, Tornado		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High		
Estimated Cost:	\$30,000		
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Menard County		
Implementation Schedule:	2012		

COMMENTS:			

The fo	_	APLEE cri on satisfie	teria we s each co	NS ere evaluated onsideration.			_	
Socia	ally Accept	able:						
1		2		3	4		5	
Tech	nically Fea	asible:						
1		2		3	4		5	
Admi	inistrative	ly Possibl	le:					
1		2		3	4		5	
	ically Acce	_			,		,	
1	Ш	2	Ш	3	4	Ш	5	
Lega	l:							
1		2		3	4		5	
Econ	omically S	ound:						
1		2		3	4		5	
Envi	ronmental	ly Sound:		3	4		5	
_		_			 •		9	

Proposed Action:	City of Menard – 3 (NF) Promote public awareness of the NFIP Program by distributing flood insurance brochures at City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	City of Menard
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	Minimal			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Menard			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

The followhick	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Sociall	y Accept	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ly Poss	sible:						
1		2		3		4		5	
	ally Acce		:			ı			
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	

Reagan County

Proposed Action:	Reagan County – 1 Expand communication system by improving city trucks to include radio systems to contact local regions during emergencies and disasters.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Reagan County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire, Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$75,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Reagan County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:			

The fo	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Socia	ally Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Tech	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admi	inistrative	ly Possik	ole:	_		,			
1		2		3		4		5	
	ically Acce					,			
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Lega	Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound: $1 \Box 2 \Box 3 \blacksquare 4 \Box 5 \Box$									
_		_		J		-		9	

Proposed Action:	Reagan County – 2 (NF) Develop flood insurance and awareness program; disseminate materials with new permits and place in the library at City Ha
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	_
Site and Location:	Reagan County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention, Public Education & Awarenes

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	Local funding			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City staff			
Implementation Schedule:	2013			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Sociall	y Accept	table:						
1		2		3		4		5
Techni	Technically Feasible:							
1		2		3		4		5
Admin	istrative	ly Pos	sible:					
1		2		3		4		5
	ally Acce		»:	1		ı		
1		2		3		4	Ш	5
Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5
Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5
Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5

City of Big Lake

	City of Big Lake - 1
Proposed Action:	Implement a program to inform and notify homeowners who may be in danger during disasters.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of Big Lake
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	Protects the homes/offices of people in the community			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$10,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Big Lake			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:

To notify public by purchasing newspaper ads and to send out letters to homeowners who may be in danger.

The foll to which	owing ST h this acti		teria we s each c	NS ere evaluated onsideration.				O	
Sociall	y Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techni	ically Fea	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ly Possibl	e:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acce	eptable:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal:									
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Econor	Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	nmental	ly Sound:	П	3		4	П	5	П
		4		U		7	1	U	

	City of Big Lake – 2
Proposed Action:	Clear abandoned areas from debris.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of Big Lake
Type of Action (Prevention, Property	Prevention
Protection, Public Education &	
Awareness, Natural Resource	
Protection, or Structural Projects):	

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High		
Estimated Cost:	\$3,000		
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Big Lake		
Implementation Schedule:	2012		

COMMENTS:

With the wildfire disasters occurring in 2011, it's important to clear out areas that could trigger a devastating wildfire.

The fo	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)										
Socially Acceptable:											
1		2		3		4		5			
Tech	nically Fea	asible:						•			
1		2		3		4		5			
Admi	inistrative	ly Possik	ole:			,					
1		2		3		4		5			
	ically Acce					,		,			
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш		
Legal	l:										
1		2		3		4		5			
Econ	Economically Sound:										
1		2		3		4		5			
Envi	ronmental	ly Sound	l:	3		4		5			
_						-		9			

Proposed Action:	City of Big Lake – 3 (NF) Promote public awareness of the NFIP Program by distributing flood insurance brochures at City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of Big Lake
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	Minimal			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Big Lake			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

The followhick	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)										
Socially Acceptable:											
1		2		3		4		5			
Techni	cally Fe	asible:									
1		2		3		4		5			
Admin	Administratively Possible:										
1		2		3		4		5			
	ally Acce		:			ı					
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5			
Legal:											
1		2		3		4		5			
Econor	Economically Sound:										
1		2		3		4		5			
Enviro	nm <u>e</u> ntal	ly Sou	nd:								
1		2		3		4		5			

Schleicher County

Proposed Action:	Schleicher County Purchase NOAA "All-Hazards" radios for early warning and post-event information and place in area schools, businesses, and critical care facilities utilizing public and private partnership funding.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Schleicher County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood, Thunderstorm, Tornado			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$30,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Schleicher County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:			

The f	_	APLEE	Ccriteria w sfies each o	ere eval			of 1 to 5 indic Not Satisfy 3	_	
Socia	ally Accept	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Tech	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Adm	inistrative	·	sible:			1		1	
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Polit	tically Acce	eptable): 						
1	Ш	2		3		4		5	
Lega	ıl:	_							
1		2		3		4		5	
Econ	Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Envi	ronmental	lly Sou	nd:	3	_	4	П	5	
1		4		J		4		บ	

Proposed Action:	Establish a county-wide Wildfire Protection Plan for the public.		
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Schleicher County		
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education &	Public Education & Awareness		

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High
Estimated Cost:	\$5,000
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Schleicher County
Implementation Schedule:	2012

COMMENTS:		

The fo	_	APLEE cri on satisfie	teria we s each co	NS ere evaluated onsideration.				_		
Socia	ally Accept	able:								
1		2		3		4		5		
Tech	Technically Feasible:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Admi	inistrative	ly Possibl	le:							
1		2		3		4		5		
	ically Acce	_				,		,		
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5		
Lega	l:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Econ	Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Envi	ronmental	ly Sound:		3		4		5		
_		_				•		9		

Proposed Action:	Schleicher County – 3 (NF Promote public awareness of the NFIP Program by distributing flood insurance brochures at City Hall.		
Proposed Action: BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location: Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Schleicher County		
Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	Public Education & Awareness		

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High
Estimated Cost:	Minimal
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Schleicher County
Implementation Schedule:	2012

COMMENTS:			

The followhick	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socially Acceptable:										
1		2		3		4		5		
Techni	Technically Feasible:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Admin	Administratively Possible:									
1		2		3		4		5		
	ally Acce		:			ı				
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5		
Legal:										
1		2		3		4		5		
Econor	Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Enviro	nm <u>e</u> ntal	ly Sou	nd:							
1		2		3		4		5		

City of Eldorado

Proposed Action:	Develop a Wildfire Protection Plan for the public.		
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	City of Eldorado		
Awareness, Natural Resource	Public Education & Awareness		

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High
Estimated Cost:	\$5,000
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Eldorado
Implementation Schedule:	2012

COMMENTS:			

The followhich	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Social	lly Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techn	nically Fea	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admii	nistrative	ly Possib	ole:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politi	cally Acce	eptable:							
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Legal:	Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Econo	Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Envir	Environmentally Sound: 1								
_		_		1 0				Ŭ	

Proposed Action:	City of Eldorado – 2 (NFI Establish public awareness program regarding availability of flood insurance by disseminating brochures in public places, such as City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	regarding availability of flood insurance by disseminating brochures in public places, such as City Hall. City of Eldorado
Site and Location:	City of Eldorado
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Public works			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

The fol	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Social	ly Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techn	ically Fea	asible:							
1		2	Ш	3		4		5	
	Administratively Possible:								
1		2	Ш	3	Ш	4		5	
	cally Acce	,				T			
1		2	Ш	3		4		5	
Legal:	Legal:								
1	Ш	2	Ш	3	Ш	4	Ш	5	
Econo	Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	
	onmental		:						
1		2		3	Ш	4	Ш	5	

Sterling County

Proposed Action:	Sterling County Coordinate a Wildfire Hazard Plan with other agencies/jurisdictions.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Sterling County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	Prevention, Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$0			
Potential Funding Sources:	N/A			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Sterling County			
Implementation Schedule:	Ongoing			

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socially	Accepta	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Technica	ally Fea	sible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Adminis	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Political	ly Acce	ptable:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	

Proposed Action:	Routinely clean and repair storm water drains.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Sterling County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention, Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	\$500			
Potential Funding Sources:	General revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Sterling			
Implementation Schedule:	As needed			

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)											
Socially	Accents	ahle:									
1	Socially Acceptable: $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
Technica	ılly Fea	sible:			1						
1		2		3	4		5				
Administ	trativel	y Possible:	:								
1		2		3	4		5				
Political	ly Acce	ptable:			_						
1		2		3	4		5	<u> </u>			
Legal:	Legal:										
1		2		3	4		5				
Economically Sound:											
1		2		3	4		5				
Environmentally Sound:											
1	Ш	2		3	4	Ш	5	Ш			

Proposed Action:	Conduct public education program on fire risk and wildfire mitigation, with the assistance of the Texas Forest Service.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Sterling County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$0			
Potential Funding Sources:	N/A			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Sterling County			
Implementation Schedule:	As needed			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)											
Socially	Accepta	able:									
1	Socially Acceptable: $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
Technica	ally Fea	sible:			1						
1		2		3		4		5			
Adminis	trativel	y Possible:	:								
1		2		3		4		5			
Political	ly Acce	ptable:									
1		2		3		4		5			
Legal:											
1		2		3		4		5			
Economically Sound:											
1		2		3		4		5			
Environmentally Sound:											
1	Ш	2		3		4		5			

	Sterling County - 4
Proposed Action:	Educate residents about xeriscaping.
DACIZO DOLIND INTEODMATION	
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	T
Site and Location:	Sterling County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property	Public Education & Awareness
Protection, Public Education &	
Awareness, Natural Resource	
Protection, or Structural Projects):	
ITIGATION ACTION DETAILS	
azard(s) Addressed:	Drought, Extreme Heat
ee , N. /E ' , D '11'	NT/A

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS					
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Drought, Extreme Heat				
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A				
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate				
Estimated Cost:	\$0				
Potential Funding Sources:	N/A				
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Sterling County - Extensive Service				
Implementation Schedule:	As needed				

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socially	Accepta	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Technic	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admini	strativel	y Possible:	:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politica	ally Acce	ptable:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Econon	Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	Ц

Proposed Action:	Sterling County - Develop and maintain a basic emergency plan that complies with state planning standards.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Sterling County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	Public Education & Awareness, Prevention
Protection, or Structural Projects):	

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS					
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Hazardous Material Incident, Pipeline Failure				
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A				
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate				
Estimated Cost:	\$0				
Potential Funding Sources:	N/A				
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Sterling County				
Implementation Schedule:	Ongoing				

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socially	Accepta	able:							
1		2		3	4		5		
Technic	cally Fea	sible:					•		
1		2		3	4		5		
Admini	st <u>ra</u> tivel	y Possible	<u>.</u>						
1		2		3	4		5		
	lly Acce	-							
1		2		3	4		5	Ш	
Legal:									
1		2	Ш	3	4		5		
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3	4		5		
	Environmentally Sound:								
1		2		3	4		5	Ш	

Proposed Action:	Sterling County – Prepare and advertise the local emergency evacuation plan, such as escape routes, in coordination with the Department of Transportation.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	Sterling County
Site and Location.	Sterning County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Tornado, Thunderstorm			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	\$0			
Potential Funding Sources:	N/A			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Sterling County			
Implementation Schedule:	Ongoing			

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socially	Accepta	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Technica	ally Fea	sible:			1				
1		2		3		4		5	
Adminis	trativel	y Possible:	:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Political	ly Acce	ptable:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
	mentall	y Sound:			ı				
1	Ш	2		3		4		5	

Proposed Action:	Sterling County – 7 (NF) Promote public awareness of the NFIP Program by distributing flood insurance brochures at City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	•
Site and Location:	Sterling County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	Minimal			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Sterling County			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Socially A	Accepta	able:						
1		2		3		4		5
Technica	ılly Fea	sible:						
1		2		3		4		5
Administ	rativel	y Possible:	:					
1		2		3		4		5
Political	ly Acce	ptable:						
1		2		3		4		5
Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5
Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5
Environ	nentall	<u> </u>				Γ.		
1	Ш	2	\sqcup	3	Ш	4	Ш	5

City of Sterling City

City of Sterling City -
rastewater facility system.
ty
on
ty
<u> </u>
i

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Social	lly Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techn	nically Fea	asible:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Admir	nistrative	ly Possib	ole:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	Politically Acceptable:								
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environmentally Sound: $1 \Box 2 \Box 3 \blacksquare 4 \Box 5 \Box$									
_		_		1 0		-		Ŭ	

Proposed Action:	Improve communications by installing rac systems in the city trucks.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of Sterling City
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS					
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire, Flood				
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A				
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High				
Estimated Cost:	\$25,000				
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants				
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Sterling City				
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding				

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Social	lly Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Techn	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admii	nistrative	ly Possib	ole:	,					
1		2		3		4		5	
	Politically Acceptable:								
1	Ш	2		3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Envir	Environmentally Sound: $1 \Box 2 \Box 3 \blacksquare 4 \Box 5 \Box$								
-		_		9		•		9	

Proposed Action:	City of Sterling City – 3 (NFI Establish public awareness program regarding availability of flood insurance by disseminating brochures in public places, such as City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	City of Sterling City
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	Property Protection
Protection, or Structural Projects):	

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Public works			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Social	ly Accept	able:						
1		2		3		4		5
Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4	Ш	5
	nistrative	 	ole:	1		T		
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5
	Politically Acceptable:							
1		2		3		4		5
Legal:								
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5
Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5
	Environmentally Sound:							
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ц	5

Sutton County

	Sutton County – 1
Proposed Action:	Implement a public awareness program to notify the public on extreme heat safety and how to prevent wildfires.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Sutton County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS					
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Extreme Heat, Wildfire				
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A				
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High				
Estimated Cost:	\$4,000				
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants				
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Sutton County				
Implementation Schedule:	2012				

COMMENTS:

Purchasing newspaper ads and TV ads to inform the public.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)										
Sociall	Socially Acceptable:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Techni	ically Fea	asible:								
1		2		3		4		5		
Admin	Administratively Possible:									
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5		
Politic	Politically Acceptable:									
1	Ш	2		3		4		5		
Legal:	Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Econor	Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5		
	nmental	ly Sound	:	Lo		Ι,		1 -		
1		2	Ш	3		4		5	Ш	

Proposed Action:	Sutton County – 2 (NFI Establish public awareness program regarding availability of flood insurance by disseminating brochures in public places, such as City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Sutton County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Public works			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)										
Socially Acceptable:										
1		2		3		4		5		
Techni	cally Fe	asible:		•						
1		2		3		4		5		
Admin	Administratively Possible:									
1		2		3		4		5		
	Politically Acceptable:									
1		2		3		4	Ш	5		
Legal:	Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Econor	Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5		
Enviro	nm <u>e</u> ntal	ly Sou	nd:							
1		2		3		4		5		

City of Sonora

Proposed Action:	To develop and organize a volunteer firefighting team.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION Site and Location:	City of Sonora
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention, Public Education & Awarenes

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$8,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Sonora			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

The fo	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)								
Socia	ally Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Tech	nically Fea	asible:				•		•	
1		2		3		4		5	
Admi	Administratively Possible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
	ically Acce					,			
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Legal	Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Econ	Economically Sound:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Envi	Environmentally Sound: 1								
_				J		_		9	

Proposed Action:	Implement a Wildfire Protection Plan for public.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of Sonora
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$5,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of Sonora			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:		

The foll to which	owing ST	APLEE ion sati	sfies each c	ere eva			of 1 to 5 indic Not Satisfy		
Sociall	y Accept	table:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Technically Feasible:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ely Pos	sible:						
1		2		3		4		5	
Politic	ally Acc	eptable	e:						
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Econor	mically S	Sound:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Enviro	nmental	lly Sou	nd:						
1		2		3		4		5	

Proposed Action:	City of Sonora – 3 (NF) Develop flood insurance and awareness program; disseminate materials with new permits and place in the library at City Ha
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	•
Site and Location:	City of Sonora
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention, Public Education & Awareness

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	Local funding			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City staff			
Implementation Schedule:	2013			

COMMENTS:			

The foll to which	owing ST	APLEE on satis	fies each c	ere eva				ating the extent 3 = Moderately
Sociall	y Accept	able:						
1		2		3		4		5
Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5
Admin	istrative		ible:	.				
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5
	ally Acce			T				
1		2		3		4		5
Legal:								
1		2		3		4		5
Econor	mically S	ound:						
1		2		3		4		5
	nmental		d:	La				
1		2		3	Ш	4	Ш	5

Tom Green County

	· ·	
		Tom Green County - 1
	Proposed Action:	Purchase two repeaters for the fire communications system used by the VFDs, as well as sic mobile radios per volunteer department.
	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
	Site and Location:	Tom Green County
	Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention
MI	TIGATION ACTION DETAILS	
Ha	zard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire
Eff	fect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A
Pr	iority (High, Moderate, Low):	High
Es	timated Cost:	
Po	tential Funding Sources:	
Le	ad Agency/Department Responsible:	
Im	plementation Schedule:	
CC	OMMENTS:	

The followhich	_	APLEE cr on satisfic	riteria we es each c	NS ere evaluated onsideration.			_	
Social	lly Accept	able:						
1		2		3	4		5	
Techn	nically Fea	asible:						
1		2		3	4		5	
Admii	nistrative	ly Possib	ole:					
1		2		3	4		5	
Politi	cally Acce	eptable:						
1	Ш	2	Ш	3	4	Ш	5	Ш
Legal:	.							
1		2		3	4		5	
Econo	omically S	ound:			 			
1		2		3	4		5	
Envir	onmental	ly Sound	<u>:</u>	3	4		5	
_		_		1 0			Ŭ	

	Tom Green County – 2
Proposed Action:	Provide portable drop tanks with a minimum 3,000 gallon capacity to each volunteer fire department to enhance their water resource capacities.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Tom Green County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Wildfire			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High			
Estimated Cost:	\$30,000			
Potential Funding Sources:	Grants			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Tom Green County			
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding			

COMMENTS:			

The fo	_	APLEE ca on satisfi	riteria we es each c	NS ere evaluated onsideration				_	
Socia	ally Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Tech	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admi	inistrative	ly Possik	ole:	_		,			
1		2		3		4		5	
	ically Acce					,			
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Lega	l:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Econ	omically S	ound:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Envi	ronmental	ly Sound	l:	3		4		5	
_		_		J		-		9	

Proposed Action:	Tom Green County – 3 (NF Develop flood insurance and awareness program; disseminate materials with new permits and place in the library at City Ha
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	Tom Green County
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource	Prevention, Public Education & Awarenes
Protection, or Structural Projects):	

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS					
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood				
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A				
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High				
Estimated Cost:	Local funding				
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues				
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City staff				
Implementation Schedule:	2013				

COMMENTS:		

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)											
Sociall	Socially Acceptable:										
1		2		3		4		5			
Techni	cally Fe	asible:									
1		2		3		4		5			
Admin	istrative	ly Pos	sible:								
1		2		3		4		5			
	ally Acce		»:	1		ı					
1		2		3		4	Ш	5			
Legal:											
1		2		3		4		5			
Econor	Economically Sound:										
1		2		3		4		5			
Enviro	nm <u>e</u> ntal	ly Sou	nd:								
1		2		3		4		5			

City of San Angelo

	City of San Angelo – 1
Proposed Action:	Raise Spaulding St. at East Angelo Draw by 5.4 feet and install (4) 9 x 8' box culverts under Spaulding; raise Bell St. at East Angelo Draw by 2.4 feet and install (4) 9 x8' culverts.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of San Angelo – East Angelo Draw at its intersection with Spaulding St. and Bell St.
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS						
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood					
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A					
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High					
Estimated Cost:	\$2,087,800					
Potential Funding Sources:	Hazard Mitigation Grants, Capital Improvement Projects					
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of San Angelo					
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding					

COMMENTS:

This is the number one project identified by the master drainage plan- COSA 2000.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)											
Social	Socially Acceptable:										
1		2		3		4		5			
Techn	nically Fea	asible:									
1		2		3		4		5			
Admii	nistrative	ly Possib	ole:								
1		2		3		4		5			
	cally Acce	_				,		,			
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш		
Legal:	Legal:										
1		2		3		4		5			
Econo	Economically Sound:										
1		2		3		4		5			
Envir	Environmentally Sound:										
_		_		1 0				Ŭ			

	City of San Angelo - 2
Proposed Action:	Construct additional 8 x 8 box culverts downstream of Bryant Blvd., continuing along Avenue P downstream to Chadbourne St.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of San Angelo – West Avenue P at Bryant Blvd.
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS					
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood				
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A				
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High				
Estimated Cost:	\$1,489,500				
Potential Funding Sources:	HMGP, CIP				
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of San Angelo				
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding				

COMMENTS:

This is number 2 on the projects identified by the 2000 Master Drainage Plan.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)									
Socially	Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Technic	ally Fea	asible:		1		1		1	
1		2		3		4		5	
	strative	ly Possible	e:					·	
1		2	Ш	3		4	<u> </u>	5	
Politica	lly Acce	eptable:				<u>, </u>			
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ц	5	Ш
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Economically Sound:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Environ	mental	ly Sound:							
1		2		3		4		5	

	City of San Angelo - 3
Proposed Action:	Widen channel from just upstream of Loop 306 to just downstream of Southwest Blvd. Install a 300 flood bridge with high chord of 1888msl. Install storm drain line in Southwest Blvd.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of San Angelo – Southwest Blvd. and South Fork, Red Arroyo
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS					
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood				
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A				
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High				
Estimated Cost:	\$3,318,400				
Potential Funding Sources:	HMGP, CIP				
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of San Angelo				
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding				

COMMENTS:

This is ranked number 7 of the 2000 Master Drainage Plan.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)											
Social	Socially Acceptable:										
1		2		3		4		5			
Techn	Technically Feasible:										
1		2		3		4		5			
Admir	nistrative	ly Possib	ole:								
1		2		3		4		5			
	cally Acce										
1	Ш	2		3		4	Ш	5	Ш		
Legal:	!										
1		2		3		4		5			
Econo	Economically Sound:										
1		2		3		4		5			
Environ 1	Environmentally Sound:										
_		_		Ü		-		Ŭ			

	City of San Angelo – 4
Proposed Action:	Acquisition of property and grading to prevent flooding.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of San Angelo – 400 Block of E. 14 th St.
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	Demo existing building, convert to park area		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate		
Estimated Cost:	\$1,200,000		
Potential Funding Sources:	HMGP, CIP		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	City of San Angelo		
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding		

COMMENTS:

This area floods homes during heavy rainfall- also requested by Mayor Alvinnew.

The fo	_	APLEE cri on satisfie	teria we s each co	NS ere evaluated onsideration.				_	
Socia	ally Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Tech	Technically Feasible:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Admi	inistrative	ly Possibl	le:						
1		2		3		4		5	
	ically Acce	_				,		,	
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш
Lega	l:								
1		2		3		4		5	
Econ	omically S	ound:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Envi	ronmental	ly Sound:		3		4		5	
_		_				•		9	

	City of San Angelo – 5
Proposed Action:	Utilize grant funding to purchase NOAA "All Hazards" radios for early warning and post- event information and place in area businesses and critical facilities.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of San Angelo
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Thunderstorm, Tornado		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate		
Estimated Cost:	\$40 for 100 = \$4,000		
Potential Funding Sources:	Grant/ in-kind funding		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Emergency Management		
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding		

COMMENTS:		
100 Radios.		

The following to which	lowing ST h this acti		iteria we es each c	NS ere evaluated onsideration					
Sociall	y Accept	able:							
1		2		3		4		5	
Technically Feasible:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Admin	istrative	ly Possib	le:			,			
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	
Politic	ally Acce								
1	Ш	2	Ш	3		4		5	
Legal:									
1		2		3		4		5	
Econor	mically S	ound:							
1		2		3		4		5	
	nmental	ly Sound:	:			Ι,		1 -	
1		2	Ш	3		4	Ш	5	Ш

	City of San Angelo - 6
Proposed Action:	Develop a SAFE Room program, implementing minimum of (10) homes within program, written San Angelo.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of San Angelo
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Prevention

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS			
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Thunderstorm, Tornado		
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A		
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	High		
Estimated Cost:	Approximately \$60,000		
Potential Funding Sources:	Grant/ in-kind funding		
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Emergency Management		
Implementation Schedule:	Upon funding		

COMMENTS:		
10 safe rooms per year.		

The foll to which	owing ST	APLEE ion sati	sfies each c	ere eva		of 1 to 5 indic Not Satisfy		
Sociall	y Accept	table:						
1		2		3	4		5	
Techni	cally Fe	asible:						
1		2		3	4		5	
Admin	istrative	ely Pos	sible:					
1		2		3	4		5	
Politic	ally Acc	eptable	e:		 			
1	Ш	2	Ш	3	4		5	
Legal:								
1		2		3	4		5	
Econor	mically S	Sound:			 			
1		2		3	4		5	
Enviro	nmental	lly Sou	nd:		 			
1		2		3	4		5	

Proposed Action:	City of San Angelo – 7 (NFI Establish public awareness program regarding availability of flood insurance by disseminating brochures in public places, such as City Hall.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
Site and Location:	City of San Angelo
Type of Action (Prevention, Property Protection, Public Education & Awareness, Natural Resource Protection, or Structural Projects):	Property Protection

MITIGATION ACTION DETAILS				
Hazard(s) Addressed:	Flood			
Effect on New/Existing Buildings:	N/A			
Priority (High, Moderate, Low):	Moderate			
Estimated Cost:	To be determined			
Potential Funding Sources:	Local revenues			
Lead Agency/Department Responsible:	Public Works			
Implementation Schedule:	2012			

COMMENTS:			

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS The following STAPLEE criteria were evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5 indicating the extent to which this action satisfies each consideration. (1= Does Not Satisfy 3 = Moderately Satisfies 5 = Strongly Satisfies)											
Socially Acceptable:											
1		2		3		4		5			
Technically Feasible:											
1		2		3		4		5			
Administratively Possible:											
1		2		3		4		5			
Politically Acceptable:											
1	Ш	2		3		4	Ш	5	Ш		
Legal:											
1		2		3		4		5			
Economically Sound:											
1		2		3		4		5			
Environmentally Sound: $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											
_		_		Ü				Ŭ			